

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/504,236	Applicant(s) PORTER, SWAIN W.
	Examiner KRISTIE D. SHINGLES	Art Unit 2444

All Participants:**Status of Application:** ALLOWED(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) Steven Prewitt, Atty.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 May 2009**Time:** 1:45pm**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

28, 33, 35, 41, 42, 59, 62, 67 and 72

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kristie D. Shingles/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With Primary's approval, Examiner proposed Examiner's amendments for the above claims in order to bring the application in condition for allowance. The amendments include adding the allowable features of providing separate and distinct email addresses (as a collection of email addresses) by the email provider in advance of, prior to or in real time to the user employing the addresses for respective intended versus unintended communication partners, replacing all instances of parentheses "(") with commas, and adding "computer-implemented" to method claims 28 and 33 for 35 USC 101 compliance. Atty authorized the proposed amendments, thus the claims were amended accordingly..